

*Science* 13 April 2001:  
Vol. 292 no. 5515 pp. 218-219  
DOI: 10.1126/science.292.5515.218

- Letters

## Tales from the DNA of Domestic Horses

In our study of the origins of domestic horses, published in 1998, my colleagues and I examined sequence data of the mitochondrial DNA control region from 29 individuals, including Przewalski's horse and 14 domestic breeds (1). We found virtual genetic constancy within Przewalski's horse, unsurprising considering its severe historical bottlenecking, but a great deal of variation within and among domestic breeds. We wrote, "Overall, the amount of sequence divergence among modern breeds is greater than could have arisen within any plausible timescale of domestication (approximately 5,000 years by current archaeological estimates), and clearly reflects more ancient haplotype diversity." We concluded, "The extent of modern haplotype diversity probably reflects an input of wild animals from different areas. It is unlikely that all domestic horses sprang from a single, local population. Domestic horses arose from wild stock distributed over a moderately extensive geographical region, large enough to have contained within it considerable pre-existing haplotype diversity."

Carles Vilà and co-authors undertook a similar analysis, incorporating some of our data, and drew essentially the same conclusions (Reports, "Widespread origins of domestic horse lineages," 19 Jan., p. 474). Their principal finding, the widespread origins of domestic horse lineages, echoes our 1998 results, although the latter are not cited in their report. Vilà *et al.* augmented their modern mitochondrial DNA study with additional results on ancient specimens and microsatellite DNA sequences, which we did not perform and which allow them to draw some interesting subsidiary conclusions.

Although the diverse genetic input to modern domestic horses, which implies a broad geographic catchment, is suggestive of multiple domestication events in different areas, other explanations are possible—for example, that horses taken from a wide area were domesticated in one or a few places only. This is an archaeological question requiring primarily archaeological evidence, although it could be aided by the extraction, thus far unsuccessful (1), of ancient DNA from the putative centers of domestication.

1. [Adrian M. Lister](#)

1. *Biology Department, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK. E-mail: (a.lister@ucl.ac.uk)*

## References and Notes

1. ↵

1. A. M. Lister  
, *Anc. Biomol.* 2, 267 (1998).

## Response

We sequenced part of the mitochondrial DNA control region of 191 domestic horses from 10 breeds (1). Additionally, we did a complete search in GenBank, a public database for DNA sequences, which added 37 of 70 unique sequences from modern horses to our analyses [see Fig. 1B in (1)]. These GenBank sequences were referred to by their accession numbers, and eight of them were

unique to the study by Lister *et al.* (2). We regret not citing this study. However, we maintain that their results were insufficient to draw firm conclusions. In their paper they said, “The modern data do not distinguish single from multi-regional domestication events, though the extent of the modern haplotype diversity probably reflects an input of wild animals from different areas” (2, p. 276). In fact, Lister *et al.* perceived the work that is necessary for definitive conclusions, and it is precisely what our study has provided: “This study needs to be enlarged by accruing larger domestic samples of accurate pedigree (using both [mitochondrial DNA] and microsatellites), and by further pursuing those ancient specimens which gave indications of DNA preservation...” (2, pp. 276-277).

Moreover, the fact of high genetic diversity alone, as found by Lister *et al.* and other authors before them (3), is not sufficient to suggest a widespread origin of modern horse lineages. Large populations can have substantial genetic diversity. In this sense, sampling of a large diversity of modern horses coupled with sequences from late Pleistocene horses from Alaska that we examined is a necessary prerequisite to definitive conclusions. Our study represents the first attempt to characterize the genetic diversity in a wild horse population before domestication. The limited diversity we observed in this ancient population suggests that multiple populations of wild horses were likely involved in the origin of domestic horses.

We agree with arguments by Lister *et al.* that archaeological discoveries are fundamental to an understanding of the domestication process. However, early domestic horses likely were indistinguishable from their wild counterparts (4); hence, the timing and location of domestication are not easily revealed by a morphologic study. DNA analysis of archaeological specimens might assist in this regard if population-specific markers could be found. However, DNA is not readily isolated from material in areas where horses were likely first domesticated, as indicated by Lister and co-authors' inability so far to genetically characterize some of these horses. Specimens from the Arctic permafrost, where the likelihood of DNA preservation is high, or more recent archaeological sites might offer our only perspective into the genetics of ancient horses. This is the approach that we chose to take.

1. [Carles Vilà\\*](#),<sup>1</sup>

1. <sup>1</sup>*Department of Evolutionary Biology, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18D, S-75236 Uppsala, Sweden*

1. \*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [carles.vila@ebc.uu.se](mailto:carles.vila@ebc.uu.se)

1. [Hans Ellegren](#)<sup>1</sup>

1. <sup>1</sup>*Department of Evolutionary Biology, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18D, S-75236 Uppsala, Sweden*

1. [Anders Götherström](#)<sup>2</sup>

1. <sup>2</sup>*Archeological Research Laboratory, Stockholm University, S-10691 Stockholm, Sweden*

1. [Jennifer A. Leonard](#)<sup>3</sup>

1. <sup>3</sup>*Department of Organismic Biology, Ecology and Evolution, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1606, USA*

1. [Robert K. Wayne](#)<sup>3</sup>

1. <sup>3</sup>*Department of Organismic Biology, Ecology and Evolution, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1606, USA*

## References and Notes

1. [↵](#)

1. C. Vilà  
, *Science* 291, 474 (2001).  
[Abstract/FREE Full Text](#)

2. [↵](#)

1. A. M. Lister  
, *et al.*, *Anc. Biomol.* 2, 267 (1998).

3. [↵](#)

1. W. Wang  
, *et al.*, *Biochem. Genet.* 32, 371 (1994) N. Ishida, T. Oyunsuren, S. Mashima, H. Mukoyama, N. Saitou, *J. Mol. Evol.* **41**, 180 (1995); S. Marklund, R. Chaudhary, L. Marklund, K. Sandberg, L. Andersson, *Anim. Genet.* **26**, 193 (1995).

4. [↵](#)

1. J. Clutton-Brock  
, *A Natural History of Domesticated Mammals*, ed. 2 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999).

## Article Views

1. Full Text

## Article Tools

1. [Save to My Folders](#)
2. [Download Citation](#)
3. [Alert Me When Article is Cited](#)
  - [Post to CiteULike](#)
4. [E-mail This Page](#)
5. [Commercial Reprints and Permissions](#)
6. [View PubMed Citation](#)

## Related Content

### Similar Articles In:

1. [Science Magazine](#)
2. [Web of Science](#)
3. [PubMed](#)

### Search Google Scholar for:

4. [Articles by Lister, A. M.](#)

### Search PubMed for:

5. [Articles by Lister, A. M.](#)

## Find Citing Articles in:

6. [Web of Science \(4\)](#)
7. [CrossRef](#)
8. [Google Scholar](#)
9. [Scopus \(4\)](#)

## My Science

1. [My Folders](#)
2. [My Alerts](#)
3. [My Saved Searches](#)
4. [Sign In](#)

ADVERTISEMENT



ADVERTISEMENT

## [To Advertise Find Products](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

src="http://sciencecareers.searchease.com/components/RollFeaturedJobsExternal.asp?lookid=aaas&pp=7&nopageview=1&nuniquevisitor=1" marginwidth="5" marginheight="5" hspace="0" vspace="0" frameborder="0" height="800" scrolling="no" width="160"

*Science*. ISSN 0036-8075 (print), 1095-9203 (online)